

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,405	03/15/2001	Frank Rademacher	964-010251	3576
	7590 03/26/2008 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAM	EXAMINER	
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING	SENFI, BEHROOZ M		
436 SEVENTI PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE 03/26/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/809,405	RADEMACHER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BEHROOZ SENFI	2621	
DETITO DE CETTO	LULI	

	DETITOOL CENT	2021	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period date whurder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Officer armay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	iance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov	sideration and/or search (see NOT		oduse
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 10-15.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	before the date of files a Ne		ha antonia
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Tung Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2621	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to applicant's arguments/remarks,please see (remarks, dated 3/3/2008, pages 3 - 4) thus mainly argues that the Camera in Enkelmann is used for measurement of the distance of an obstacle.

In response, Applicant arguments is not persuasive, because; Thomas Enkelmann clearly in fig. 2, shows the industrial truck (fork lift) having multiple cameras, such as 2 - 2" mounted on the truck, and a display/monitor 1 is being cold provide the image information to the operator of the truck, as stated in (page, 2, last paragraph, page 4, last paragraph and page 5, whole page), and further as shown in fig. 2, the camera 2" mounted on the rear of the industrial truck and above the counterweight at a first hight, also Thomas further indicates that, further camera can be mounted at the front or rear of the industrial truck (please see, page 5 of Thomas). However, Thomas does not explicitly mention additional/further camera can be used to provide a view of a near area behind the industrial. When the camera was mounted on the vehicle/fruck pointing toward the rear of the truck/vehicle for the purpose of allowing the operator of the vehicle/fruck to view the blind spots, e.g., near area behind, one-half inch to Infinity, behind the vehicle/fruck. Therefore, taking the teaching of Thomas and Rosinski, as a whole, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Rosinski into method and device for driving/controlling vehicles of Thomas to increase field of view and allow the operator to view the blind spots be fined so the solution than vehicle/fruck.